## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/563,194      | JENSEN ET AL. |  |
|                 |               |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |                             |
|--|-----------------------------|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>28 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |                             |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Rec for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time  | es the                      |
| periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is la no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHI   |                             |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | n fee<br>on fee<br>r (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the da filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sometimes of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS  |                             |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  |                             |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> <li>NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>  | for                         |
| 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  NOTE: <u>Gee Continuation Street</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.110 and 41.33(a)):  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the submitted in a separate.  | •                           |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>63,64,75,76,83-87,92-95,97-99,102-105,108,109,114-117,120 and 121</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>91,96,110 and 111</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>59-62,65-74,77-82,88-90,100,101,106,107,112,113,118 and 119</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |                             |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |                             |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entere because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |                             |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provid showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  | le a                        |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |                             |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because  | e:                          |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:   |                             |
| /Phuong T. Bui/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638   |                             |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claim 84 in the amendment filed 9/28/10 does not correspond to claim 84 filed 2/16/10. It appears to correspond to claim 85 filed 2/16/10. Thus, all subsequent claims and their claim dependencies are also mislabeled. The claims cannot be properly evaluated until the claims and their dependencies are correctly identified.